Basic Scheme Macros for Function Docs

Richard Cleis

ABQ Lisp/Scheme Users Group

Albuquerque, New Mexico

December 16, 2007

A Few dozen pages to describe the following...

```
(lam-w/args args body)
    (lam-w/expr args body)
    (lam-w/info info args body)

(docs-for function)
(list-docs function1 [function2 ...])
```

... yet they are implemented in one page using only elementary techniques of Scheme and define-syntax

Utilized Macro Features

S-Expressions are handled, unevaluated, with names (arg . body) handles the lambda form, which is 'implied-begin' Ellipses represent the names of multiple input functions Nameless procedures have temporary names in macroland Syntax-error is used as described in define-syntax-primer.txt

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions Intended for high level functions in workspaces
 - High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- **Examples: 3 similar macros**
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- >> High level programming often requires quick reference

 Digging through source isn't always practical
 - Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions

A few macros and functions access the expressions

- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- >> Examples: 3 similar macros

May reference functions or expressions

- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body Function reference (not name) provides key
 - Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

Many solutions can easily be investigated

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions

A few macros and functions access the expressions

- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

How to doc lamba expressions

Three macros fill doc table; two are just like lambda:

```
(lam-w/args args body)
(lam-w/expr args body)
(lam-w/info info args body)
```

A function, docs-for, extracts the docs

```
(docs-for function)
```

A macro can be used to associate names to docs

```
(list-docs function1 [function2 ...])
```

Docs-for works with any name for a function (including none)

List-docs lists the docs with any name for the function

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- >> Examples: 3 similar macros

May reference functions or expressions

- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

Macro that saves expression for the args

Often, carefully named args are enough to 'jog your memory'

To get the docs, use:

```
> (docs-for interest-a) ; produces...
(lambda (principal percent))
```

To associate a name:

```
> (list-docs interest-a) ; produces...
(interest-a (lambda (principal percent)))
```

Macro that saves the expression for the entire function

High level functions may be short; lam-w/expr can doc them

Macro that saves the expression for anything

Sometimes, a string is desired (Any legal expression is ok)

- Docs-for will evaluate the info expression if it is a procedure
- Nature is upset, though; lambda now has info, args, and a body

Macro that saves the expression for a function

A function in the first arg can do anything

- It evaluates whenever docs-for is used
- The arg may be a function name (below, it's embedded)
- GUI's and talking docs are easy to implement

List-docs macro associates names with docs

A function would need the names and the procedures

- Scheme extracts a name with 'tick... frightens Schemeaphobics
- A list of pairings is normally needed, anyway... ergo: this macro

All previous examples listed as nameless procedures:

```
(define list-of-functions
 (list
   (lam-w/args (principal percent)
               (* principal 0.01 percent))
   (lam-w/expr (principal percent)
               (* principal 0.01 percent))
   (lam-w/info "Supply principal & rate as percent"
               (principal percent)
               (* principal 0.01 percent))
   (lam-w/info (lambda ()
                 (display "This could feed a qui")
                 (newline))
               (principal percent)
               (* principal 0.01 percent))))
```

Docs-for works with the list of nameless procedures

The four procedures only appear identical in this REPL All are actually distinct keys to the docs...

```
> list-of-functions
(#procedure:f>
  ##cedure:f>
  #procedure:f>
  #procedure:f>)
```

Docs-for naturally maps the nameless list

```
> (map docs-for list-of-functions)
This could feed a gui
((lambda (principal percent))
  (lambda (principal percent)
      (* principal 0.01 percent))
"Supply principal & rate as percent"
#<void>)
```

The doc of the last procedure returns void after displaying the text

Function synonyms work, too

Function names contain a procedure just like an element of a list

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body Function reference (not name) provides key
 - Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

Macros copy expressions in lambda and table the docs

The temporary f is formed in syntax space

f is used for the key and the Macro's final value.

```
(define-syntax lam-w/args
  (syntax-rules ()
    ((_ args . body)
        (let ((f (lambda args . body)))
            (sort-table! f '(lambda args))
            f))
        ((_ ...)
        (syntax-error
            "Use λ form. Expr for args will be tabled."))))
lam-w/args replaces the sort line with:
```

(sort-table! f '(lambda args . body))

A simple list and one function for making docs

Any 'real' sorter or hash-table could be used

yet a textbook list works, and is silly-simple.

docs-for evaluates a procedure if it gets one

docs-for is defined with lam-w/args

- (docs-for docs-for) returns the expression for its args
- Programming has no soul if such things are not kewl

The list-docs macro lists a name with the docs

To be used for making help tables in GUIs, for example

- · The macro allows 'ticking' the procedure name, and
- forming the list with ellipses

```
(define-syntax list-docs
  (syntax-rules ()
        ((_ f ...)
        (list (list 'f (get-info f table)) ...))
        ((_ ...)
        (syntax-error "Use (list-docs f [...])"))))
```

lam-w/info expects an expression, info, before args

Docs-for will evaluate info if a function, return info otherwise

```
(define-syntax lam-w/info
  (syntax-rules ()
    ((_ info args . body)
        (let ((f (lambda args . body)))
            (sort-table! f info)
            f))
        ((_ ...)
        (syntax-error
            "Use λ form, but put info before args.")))))
```

Macro syntax-error from define-syntax-primer.txt

Causes REPL to provide information about the macro

- Designed to work with recursive macros
- Designed to fail so that caller's text is returned
- Programming has no soul if... oh, never mind

- Means for associating information with lambda expressions
- High level programming often requires quick reference
- Slight variations of lambda fill a table of expressions
- Examples: 3 similar macros
- Lambda implementation is leached for args and body
- >> Conclusions: Scheme + syntax-language... effective

Many solutions can easily be investigated

Conclusions other than: this solution is practical

Macros permit matching multiple patterns and recursion, but in this case it is easier to read, debug, and use a macro for each form

Basing these macros on lambda (instead of define) is more flexible

• E.g. when using synonyms and lists of unnamed functions

Possible non-portable improvements

- Use hash-tables or sorting functions to table information
- Contain code in a Scheme Module (like this implementation)
- Use GUI to display and manipulate the information

The reasons for define-syntax are learned by doing, not reading